



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,583	07/24/2003	Kenichi Hayashi	240708US2	7738

22850 7590 06/16/2005

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2826

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/625,583

Applicant(s)

HAYASHI ET AL.

Examiner

Alexander O. Williams

Art Unit

2826

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-14, 16, 17 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 15, 18 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/625583 Attorney's Docket #: 240708US2

Filing Date: 7/24/2003; claimed foreign priority to 7/26/02

Applicant: Hayashi et al.

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Applicant's Amendment filed 4/13/05 to the election with traverse of species of figure 1A (claims 1-8, 15, 18 and 19) filed 10/18/04 is acknowledged. This species elected read on figures 1A to 7.

This application contains claims 9-14, 16, 17 and 20 drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2826

Initially, and with respect to claims 8, 15 and 18, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

Claims 1 to 8, 15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tazawa et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,508,563) in view of Damon et al. (U.S. Patent # 3,825,876).

1. Tazawa et al. (figures 1 to 9) specifically figures 3(a), 3(b) and 9 show a semiconductor device of an insertion-mount-type comprising: a plastic package (container, 200b); a plurality of leads **13** protruding outward from said plastic package; a semiconductor element (**within the container, 201 shown in figure 9**) protected by said plastic package; and electric wiring (**inherent**) protected by said plastic package to connect said semiconductor elements with said leads, wherein each of said leads includes a first lead portion (**portion of 13 closest to the package with first wide width portion**) located at a plastic package side, a second lead portion (**portion of 13 after first lead wide width portion to the beginning portion of the second wide width portion**) located at a position closer to a lead tip end than said first lead portion, and a third lead portion (**portion at the outer end of the lead 13 at the tip after the second wide width portion**) located at a position closer to the lead tip end than said second lead portion, the third lead portion being capable of being inserted into a lead-inserting portion, the sectional area of said second lead portion is set to a value smaller than that of said first lead portion, and at least some of said leads are formed as gap controlling leads provided with gap-controlling means (**portion of 13 with the second wide portion**) to keep a gap between said semiconductor device and said external electric member constant by inserting at least some of the third lead portions being capable of being put into said external electric member up to said gap-controlling lead, said gap-controlling means being located at a position closer to the lead tip end than said second lead portion, but fail to explicitly show said semiconductor device being to

Art Unit: 2826

be mounted on an external electric member by inserting said leads into a lead inserting portion of said external electric member and joining said leads with said lead-inserting portion by solder.

Damon et al. is cited for showing an electrical component mounting. Specifically, Damon et al. (figures 1 to 8) specifically figure discloses a semiconductor device being to be mounted on an external electric member **21** by inserting said leads **13** into a lead inserting portion **46** of said external electric member and joining said leads with said lead-inserting portion by solder (**see abstract**) for the purpose of providing exceedingly economical means for connection of integrated circuits selectively in readily removeable or permanent soldered attachment.

2. The semiconductor device according to claim 1, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein said gap-controlling means is formed by making the lead width thereof locally larger than the width of said second lead portion.

3. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein said leads are arranged in a line at a side portion of said plastic package, only said leads at both ends of said line being formed as said gap-controlling leads.

4. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein the thickness of said first lead portion is equal to that of said second lead portion, the width of said second lead portion being smaller than that of said first lead portion.

5. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein the sectional area of said second lead portion is equal to that of said third lead portion.

6. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein said gap-controlling means is formed in a shape protruding to both directions along a lead width direction.

7. The semiconductor device according to claim 6, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein the lead width of said gap-controlling means is equal to that of said first lead portion.

8. The semiconductor device according to claim 7, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein each of said gap-controlling leads is formed by linearly cutting said lead frame having a wide portion corresponding to said first lead portion, a narrow portion corresponding to said third lead portion, and a tie bar portion which connects

Art Unit: 2826

said wide portion with said narrow portion and in which two holes are formed, and both of said holes are located at both sides of a range of said narrow portion along the lead width direction so that said holes are not present in said range, said holes being located on extension lines of both sides of said wide portion.

15. The semiconductor device according to claim 8, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein each of said holes is a rectangular hole in which two opposite sides are parallel with the lead width direction or lead extending direction.

18. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein each of said gap-controlling leads is formed by linearly cutting said lead frame having a wide portion corresponding to said first lead portion, a narrow portion corresponding to said third lead portion, and a tie bar portion which connects said wide portion with said narrow portion and in which two cutoff are formed at a position closer to said narrow portion, and said cutoffs are located at both sides of a range of said narrow portion in a lead width direction, said cutoffs being located on extension lines of both sides of said wide portion so as to be previously provided with said gap controlling means.

19. The semiconductor device according to claim 1, the combination with Tazawa et al. showing wherein each of said leads is coated with solder using tin as a base material without containing lead.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Damon et al.'s leads attachment to modify Tazawa et al.'s leads for the purpose of providing exceedingly economical means for connection of integrated circuits selectively in readily removeable or permanent soldered attachment.

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

Response

Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/05 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejections detailed above.

The insertion of Applicant's additional claimed language, for example, "in claim 1" cause for further search and consideration to make this action final.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class and subclass: 257/666,696,698,691,690,693,692,776,775,787,673,672,671,670 361/774,748,761,776,405 439/75 228/180 174/52.4	12/24/04 6/14/05
Other Documentation: foreign patents and literature in 257/666,696,698,691,690,693,692,776,775,787,673,672,671,670 361/774,748,761,776,405 439/75 228/180 174/52.4	12/24/04 6/14/05
Electronic data base(s): U.S. Patents	12/24/04 6/14/05

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Alexander O Williams
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2826

AOW
6/14/05